

International Human Rights Law Versus The WHO's One Health Propaganda

By Francis A. Boyle, Professor of International Human Rights Law

There are 171 States Parties to the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, which was concluded under the authority and the auspices of the United Nations Organization. The United States has signed this Covenant but has not yet ratified it, which means that the United States government is under an obligation not to defeat the Object and Purpose of this Covenant (set forth in its Preamble below) until it has made known whether or not it is going to ratify it, which has not yet happened. Article 12(1) of this Covenant provides as follows:

Article 12

1. The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize the right of everyone {i.e., every human being—not animals} to the enjoyment of the highest {i.e., above animals} attainable standard of physical and mental health {for every human being—not animals}.

That is the relevant standard of international law and of international human rights law when it comes to repudiating WHO's One Health Propaganda. You will note this language is unequivocal and peremptory. It says nothing at all about animal health or the environment. Article 12(1) is the relevant standard of international law and international human rights law that must be applied by the WHO and the UNO and all States of the World—not One Health! Enactment of One Health would violate Article 12(1) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights and would defeat the Object and Purpose of this Covenant as set forth in its Preamble as follows:

Preamble

The States Parties to the present Covenant,

Considering that, in accordance with the principles proclaimed in the Charter of the United Nations, recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human {not animals} family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world,

Recognizing that these rights derive from the inherent dignity of the human {not animals} person,

Recognizing that, in accordance with the Universal Declaration of Human {not animals} Rights, the ideal of free human {not animals} beings enjoying freedom from fear and want can only be achieved if conditions are created whereby everyone {not animals} may enjoy his {or her, not its} economic, social and cultural rights, as well as his {or her, not its} civil and political rights,

Considering the obligation of States under the Charter of the United Nations to promote universal respect for, and observance of, human {not animals} rights and freedoms,

Realizing that the individual {not animals}, having duties to other individuals {not animals} and to the community to which he {or she, not it} belongs, is under a responsibility to strive for the promotion and observance of the rights recognized in the present Covenant,

Agree upon the following articles:

Article 12

The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize the right of everyone {i.e., every human being—not animals} to the enjoyment of the highest {above animals} attainable standard of physical and mental health {for every human being—not animals}.

To the same effect is article 24 of the International Convention on the Rights of the Child:

Article 24

States Parties recognize the right of the child {human, not animal} to the enjoyment of the highest {i.e., above animals} attainable standard of health and to facilities for the treatment of illness and rehabilitation of health...

Every State in the World is a contracting party to this Children's Convention except for the United States that has signed it. The enactment of the WHO's One Health Propaganda into law and/or policy would contravene and violate the legally expressed Will of every State in the World today representing all the Human Beings in the World today that is set forth in the Children's Convention.

The entire Modern International Human Rights Law Movement began in shocked reaction to the Nazi Holocaust against the Jews, who were treated like animals, expressly in order to prevent this genocide from ever happening again. For example, the SS used Zyklon B to exterminate vermin in Nazi concentration camps. Then the SS decided to use Zyklon B to exterminate millions of Jews and other Human beings at Auschwitz. You can read all about this atrocity in the *Zyklon B* Judgment arising out of the Nuremberg Prosecutions after World War II.

One Health reduces human beings to the level of animals. I send my Wife and my Children to be treated by Board Certified Medical Doctors. I send my dog to be treated by a Vet. I do not want or expect my Family's Board Certified Medical Doctors to consult with my dog's Vet on how they should be treated. That's what One Health is all about. Never again! Exit the WHO!