

TRANSCRIPT

A joint CPDC/SVPTF webinar

Freefall into Tyranny: What's at stake at the World Health Assembly

Frank Gaffney and Reggie Littlejohn with Bill Walton, Dr. Meryl Nass, Dr. Kat Lindley, Alex Newman, Hon. Michele Bachmann, and Kris Ullman

March 20, 2024

<https://stopvaxpassports.org/webinar-freefall-into-tyranny-whats-at-stake-at-the-world-health-assembly/>

Media: Webinar_WHO_Freefall.mp4

BEGIN TRANSCRIPT

Dede Laugesen: [00:00:11] Hello, everyone. Thanks for joining us today. I'm Dede Laugesen, executive secretary for the Committee on the Present Danger China and coordinator for the Stop Vax Passports Task Force and the Sovereignty Coalition, which are joint projects of the Committee and Women's Rights Without [00:00:30] Frontiers. We appreciate your interest in our weekly webinars, in which we expose and analyze the Chinese Communist Party's unrestricted warfare against America and the help being provided to the CCP in waging that warfare by captured US elites. We encourage you to visit PresentDangerChina.org for information on new programs, access to videos of our past webinars, and tons of other very valuable content. [00:01:00] Also visit StopVaxPassports.org for more webinars on the coming digital Gulag and sign the American Sovereignty Declaration at SovereigntyCoalition.org. Our moderator today is Frank Gaffney. Frank is the executive chairman for the Center for Security Policy, vice chair for the Committee on the Present Danger China, and co-sponsor of both the Stop Vax Passports Task Force and the Sovereignty [00:01:30] Coalition.

Frank Gaffney: [00:01:31] Welcome, everyone, to this combined effort of our Committee on the Present Danger China and its Stop Vaccine Passports Task Force. This is an extraordinarily important webinar at a most urgent moment. We are within two days of the United States House of Representatives taking up and acting upon legislation that may well wind up funding [00:02:00] the World Health Organization again, which would mean a reversal of the House's formal position on the Foreign Operations appropriations bill, in which they said no funding for the Who, as it's known,

and importantly, added a further policy rider saying that there would be no future funding unless and until the Who and [00:02:30] the Biden administration presented to the Senate for its advice and consent. The treaties, and I use that term advisedly. The treaties that have been the subject of the negotiations will be discussing today. The reason that's so important is that under the present circumstances, these two different agreements, one involving amendments to the International Health Regulations, the [00:03:00] other, what even the W.H.O. periodically slips up and calls the pandemic treaty would have some extraordinarily. Deleterious effects for our sovereignty, for our states rights, and not least for our personal medical freedoms. We'll be talking with an extraordinarily distinguished panel about all of that. I wanted simply to say, we need your help. Ladies [00:03:30] and gentlemen, this is a moment when what is done here by the House of Representatives could be a very important corrective to the trajectory of the Biden administration has us on, and should it fail, it will simply make much more difficult, but also much more urgent.

Frank Gaffney: [00:03:52] Our efforts, which the Sovereignty coalition, our Committee on the Present Danger, China are stopped, vaccine passports task force have all [00:04:00] joined forces to try to undertake to keep this damaging set of agreements - treaties - from being adopted and causing the kind of damage that I've just described we're going to have as a first presenter and some opening remarks as well. Our friend, our colleague, our committee on the Present Danger China member, as well as the [00:04:30] co-founder of both the Stop Vax Passports Task Force and the Sovereignty Coalition, that would be Reggie Littlejohn. You know her perhaps best as the president and founder of Women's Rights Without Frontiers. But she is also a Yale trained attorney and litigator, an extraordinarily formidable freedom fighter. And we're really glad she's on our side. As all [00:05:00] I can say, we're going to go to her first for her opening remarks. Reggie, welcome and thank you for your leadership in putting this webinar together, as well as all your leadership in so many areas. Over to you.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:05:12] Thank you so very much, Frank. Chinese style totalitarianism is being exported worldwide through the Who's Covid response, requiring vaccine passports, which they now call digital IDs, which can serve as the platform for the China Social Credit System. [00:05:30] The Who is collaborating with the EU to roll these out worldwide and when combined with central bank digital currencies, these digital IDs can trap humanity in a digital gulag from which there will be no escape once it

is operationalized. Because once that happens, if you try to dissent, basically you will you will be severed from your credit cards and your bank accounts. So. All of this is coming to a head right now [00:06:00] because the World Health Organization is having its vote on two instruments, the International Health Regulation Amendments and the New Pandemic Treaty. And both of these are happening at the end of May, just a like 11 weeks from now. And if these passed, then all the things that we're talking about are going, are going to come to pass as well. And we will be trapped in a digital gulag. That's number one. And then number two, as [00:06:30] some of you may know, the sovereignty coalition together with others, but I think we can have a major impact on waging a major educational battle with the United States House of Representatives who voted to, to number one, defund the World Health Organization, and then number two, to require Senate approval of any treaty.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:06:52] Now, that's just the House of Representatives. There's now a mini omnibus bill that is being considered. And it's today they're [00:07:00] making the decision on the 22nd, but they're considering it right now. So I would urge everyone, even during this webinar, to go on to the Sovereignty Coalition website and sign our campaign to let legislators know that we want that that language preserved from that mini omnibus from the House vote to defund the W.H.O. and to require any treaty to go through the Senate. So between the International Health Regulation amendments and [00:07:30] the pandemic treaty. There will be a complete destruction of the physician patient relationship and also a major disruption. It's going to really destroy also our national sovereignty in the following ways. In terms of the physician patient relationship, if the W.H.O. gets what it wants, it is going to it is going to be able to mandate vaccines. So, you know, we'll have mandatory vaccines. [00:08:00] Also masks, mask mandates, quarantines, lockdowns. So how are they going to enforce all of this? They're going to enforce it through digital IDs, which are also being required. And as I just said, the W.H.O. and the European Union are rolling these out. These digital IDs will be required and you will need to be in good standing, meaning that you have not, you know, you have all your vaccines, you have all your boosters, you have not been criticizing [00:08:30] the government on social media in order to be able to do the following.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:08:33] Access health care, open a bank account, own a device like a laptop or a phone, a cell phone to participate in social media, to travel, to, vote, to

file for your taxes, to access Medicare and Medicaid, any other government services. So this is how they can force us basically to [00:09:00] be compliant, because you're going to need a digital ID, and you're going to need it to be in good functioning order, you know, in order to do all to basically to participate in society and in China under the China Social Credit system, they track all these various things. And if you are not in good standing, if you haven't been fully vaccinated, if you have criticized the government, they will cut you off from your bank account. They'll cut you off from your credit card. They will even disappear you. So God forbid that that should come to the United States. But we have the technology [00:09:30] to make that of the case right now. So with respect to the pandemic treaty, a new draft came out on March 7th. And I want to tell you that this new draft solves some of the more minor problems that were in the previous draft. But the major problems, the major concerns that we've had all along are in this draft.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:09:52] So they're doubling down on the following. They want to have there's a section called Pandemic Prevention and Surveillance. Article [00:10:00] four, which calls for the comprehensive, coordinated and multisectoral surveillance not only of humans but also of animals, including companion animals. Companion animals are specifically named. So, you know, for example, I have birds. You know what? If there's an avian flu, are they going to, you know, euthanize my birds, you know, so this this is very troubling. So everything is going to be surveilled for signs of an outbreak. And then they are they [00:10:30] have maintained the one health approach. So the one health approach connects human health. Well let me just read their language here. It says here in article five that means an integrated, unifying approach that aims to sustainably balance and optimize the health of people, animals and ecosystems. So what that means is that people don't come first. We our interests need to be balanced against, you know, the interests of the rat or the cockroach in our basement if we have those. But you know. So. [00:11:00] So this is a way of making of degrading human health and elevating plants and animals to be equal. There is an article in the Lancet magazine, very prestigious journal about one health. And here's a quote that says all life is equal and of equal concern. So that's very frightening. Another thing that survived is, um. An article 18 is called Communication and Public Awareness.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:11:29] They took out [00:11:30] the reference to Infodemics, but they kept saying that states to the parties to the pandemic treaty need to counter, they

agreed, they call for countering and addressing misinformation and disinformation and preventing misinformation or disinformation. How do you prevent misinformation? I mean, even countering it, I've even countering it means that they're going to be screening our social media, etc., to see if we say that we're vaccine [00:12:00] injures or whatever. But how do you prevent it, other than extreme censorship, to make sure that the word doesn't even get out of your mouth? It's not even like you. You counter it after it's out there. They want to prevent it from ever getting out there. And then there's this. They also maintained the conference of the parties and the conference of the parties is the implementation body of, of these new, of the pandemic treaty, and something that's very frightening about the conference of the parties is that it will have the power [00:12:30] to amend the treaty. So we could have this treaty that is negotiated, and everybody finally agrees. And, you know, we think it's okay. And then the conference of the parties can unilaterally amend it, and it doesn't say anything about it going back for ratification. So that's a blank check to the conference of the parties to grab even more power than what they want.

Reggie Littlejohn: [00:12:51] So, um. Obviously, what we want is to defund the World Health Organization and withdraw from it. But [00:13:00] I think another tactic along the way is to demand that this vote be delayed. The Biden administration is desperate to ram this through during its administration. And so they're really pressing for this to happen. And they have violated their own rules because there's article 55 in the International Health Regulations that says that any amendments have to be submitted four months in advance of the vote. That was January 27th. And they're way beyond that. And they're continuing to negotiate them, and they're [00:13:30] going to spring it on the World Health Assembly days or weeks ahead of time. And these things are too weighty. They're too complicated to be voted on with, with all of this being submitted last minute where there's no chance to analyze it, there's no chance for civil society to respond. And I think that delay is victory. So, you know, so I believe that this whole thing needs to be delayed. But beyond that, defund, withdraw from the W.H.O., go to the sovereignty coalition [00:14:00]website, sign up, sign the campaign for the mini omni bill that that they need to maintain the defunding of the W.H.O. and the protection of the Senate approval. And because once we have lost our sovereignty, it will be very difficult, if not impossible, to get it back. Thank you.

Frank Gaffney: [00:14:21] Thank you, Reggie, very much. What a comprehensive overview and great introduction to various topics that we'll be drilling down on with other panelists. [00:14:30] I did want to particularly thank you for impressing upon all of us, again, the urgency of action. This is the window in which we can hopefully communicate to your representatives in the House of Representatives, specifically your representative, that you do not want this package of agreements to be approved or enabled by the House funding the W.H.O. this year. [00:15:00] We're going to turn next to a man who has emerged as a real leader in this effort. His name is Bill Walton. He is a former I call him recovering financial leader on Wall Street, who has really turned his considerable skills and energies to public policy. Now, as the vice chairman of the Conservative Political Action Coalition, Bill has led in the recent [00:15:30] adoption of that CPAC, uh board of directors stating its vehement opposition to this. Well, I call it putting this W.H.O. on steroids. And we're going to talk with Bill a little bit about why that is so important, including, among other things, the kinds of transfers of wealth and technology that are part of a kind of redistributionist socialist or communist agenda that is [00:16:00] all too familiar to those of us who've been tracking one of the prime movers behind this enterprise, the Chinese Communist Party. Bill is a member of our Committee on the Present Danger China and the host of the Bill Walton Show, which I urge you all to take aboard. Bill, thank you for joining us. The floor is yours, sir.

Bill Walton: [00:16:17] Thanks, Frank. Hey. I liked it even better when you called me a recovering master of the universe.

Frank Gaffney: [00:16:21] Oh, there's that too.

Bill Walton: [00:16:22] It frames what we're talking about here because, you know, the theme of our of our CPAC meeting is about where [00:16:30] globalism goes to die. And those that have a global agenda are quite formidable. And we're going to need some masters of the universe to fight back. You know, we all know the global governance agenda is wrapped in a fuzzification and secrecy. It has to be because it's at a core de-growth agenda. It's an anti-property rights agenda. It's anti-human freedom. And it's really basically fundamentally anti-human. And we see all that wrapped into [00:17:00] the World organization. World Health Organization's current attempt at a at a massive power grab. It's really an exhibit A for globalism. It wraps the entire agenda into two documents. Reggie mentioned that the two documents at a meeting with what's called

the World Health Assembly, which starts in late May. They will likely adopt two agreements, one which amends the existing international health regulations. The other is something [00:17:30] new. It's a so-called pandemic agreement, which is ostensibly meant to prevent and guide responses to future pandemics. And if they if these are signed, they form legally binding international instruments which require acceptance, with only a simple majority vote of the 194 states. And now. You look at these agreements, there's a fundamental wealth and power transfer technology, patent transfer from rich states [00:18:00] to poor states. So of course, the have nots, as members of this, will sign the agreement and sign it eagerly.

Bill Walton: [00:18:08] The new agreements would require the United States and the other supposedly sovereign states to knuckle under to the World Health Organization director general, who would have unilaterally the power to declare what they call public health emergency of international concern anywhere in the world and to [00:18:30] dictate and nations to nations in detail, what they have to do in response. Now, just for fun, I spent the afternoon curled up with the revised international agreement and also the pandemic treaty. And if any of you out there have a chance to go on the website and look at it, I highly recommend it. One glance you realize this is nothing. Something you would ever sign. And shining through it is that the World Health Organization edicts are going to become [00:19:00] compulsory if this goes into place. Just looking through the agreement they substituted the word may with shall over 100 times. In addition, they deleted. And by the way, if you look at the revisions, that's all crossed out. Non-binding has been deleted to make the recommendations of the Director General binding. And Reggie ticked off some of the things he could require. Uh. Quarantines, masks, mandatory [00:19:30] testing, vaccination requirements, lockdowns, border closures, border closures coupled with vaccine passports. And they've got a handy health certificate attached to the pandemic agreement in case some country doesn't know how to do their own, their own forms.

Bill Walton: [00:19:47] And of course, this this whole globalist move has the support of the World Economic Forum. Think Klaus Schwab, the European Union, Brussels, the world Bank, [00:20:00] Bill gates, Big Pharma. Interestingly, if you look at Big Pharma's footprints or fingerprints in this, you don't see it. You go on the website and look at the contributors. They don't show up. They have non-profit foundations through which they're funding the World Health Organization. And of course, the Chinese Communist

Party and what's closest to home. And Reggie touched on this is that, this is really being driven by the Biden administration, at the Office [00:20:30] of Global Affairs, at HHS principal negotiator, and they're trying to push this through in as much secrecy as they can. Of course, this group and others, others are alerted to this, and we are trying to call attention and get Congress to shut it down. Now, one of those clever things they're trying to do is not have these characterized as treaties so that they can circumvent the US ratification process, which requires [00:21:00] Senate approval. This is something we need to stop. We need to stop it now. One of the ways we can we can at least signal our intention is to not fund it. Unfortunately, we're only funding, I think, less than 10% of the total budget. So it won't stop it, but it will send a signal.

Bill Walton: [00:21:19] The other thing, as Reggie points out, we they're violating all their own language as to when this, you know, when this goes into effect. And in fact, this meeting that they're calling to vote [00:21:30] on, this is illegal, if you read the clear language of their own documents. So having this delayed until we have a better president elected in November, then we can. Then we probably then we should take the third step, most important step, which President Trump already did, which is withdraw from the World Health Organization. And again, a lot of agendas here. They want to wrap this under the roof, you know, rubric of the one health agenda. [00:22:00] There's also other language in this agreement, like it's meant to address disparities, inequalities, discrimination, stigma and bias issues related to gender and youth and unequal remuneration. This is in the World Health agreement. I'm reading to you. And barriers faced by women to reaching leadership and decision making roles. In other words, you look through the language of this and virtually all of the left, all the progressive, all the woke agenda is written right into this. [00:22:30] This is something we've got to stop. Stop it now and retain American sovereignty and let Americans decide what our health care should be about, not the director general of the World Health Organization.

Frank Gaffney: [00:22:45] All right. Thank you very much. Well said, and calls to action galore in all of that. We're going to hear next from Doctor Meryl Nass, one of the leading frontline doctors who has personally [00:23:00] experienced what happens when the World Health Organization interferes with the patient doctor relationship. She was suspended in terms of her medical practice by the authorities in her native state of Maine for having deviated from the W.H.O. advice about how to proceed. And that was again before this organization had [00:23:30] the power to compel compliance with its

diktats. Doctor Nass has, among other things, a background in the perils of biological warfare. And one of the things that she has looked at that is an important further example of real problems with these agreements is the provisions that Bill Walton has alluded to, involving the sharing [00:24:00] of information of proprietary intellectual property and technology, for that matter, that, in Doctor Nasser's opinion, could in fact greatly exacerbate the dangers that the planet will be subjected to more biological warfare attacks, potentially considerably more deadly than the Covid 19 one unleashed by the [00:24:30] Wuhan Institute of Virology, the Chinese Communist Party. We have Doctor Nass with us by videotape. We're very pleased to ensure that her contribution is part of this program. And we will go to the videotape now.

Meryl Nass: [00:24:45] Hi, this is Meryl Nass, and I'm going to give you a slide show discussing potential pandemic pathogens and the huge risks that are being taken by the W.H.O. The WTO is opposing that nations go out and [00:25:00] find potential pandemic pathogens, which is another name for biological warfare agents. And if they can be used to make drugs or vaccines or diagnostics, then nations will be paid royalties for them. Now, I want you to think about what kind of business model this actually is. In 1972, the United States initiated a worldwide treaty to prevent the development and use of biological weapons. It's [00:25:30] called the Biological Weapons Convention. It went into force in 1975. Almost every nation in the world is a party to it. 178 state parties, and it is supposed to prevent the development of dangerous biological warfare agents. However. The UN and the W.H.O. are currently pretending that that treaty does not exist. Years [00:26:00] ago, the United States decided to be in compliance with that treaty. We passed a domestic enabling legislation in 1989, and we created something called the Federal Select Agent Program, which is a monitoring system for scientists that do research with potential pandemic pathogens. They were called select agents. And if you have an accident while you're doing research with select agents, you are [00:26:30] required to report to the CDC and USDA in the United States. In fact, there are 200 reports that the CDC gets yearly regarding losses, thefts, or spills.

Meryl Nass: [00:26:43] And in in 2018 alone, 895 scientists and technicians had to be checked by doctors in the United States because they had been exposed to the agents that were being studied. So this is not a [00:27:00] completely safe activity. But the efforts now to prevent pandemics are all based on concepts that have not been shown to be true. First of all, pandemics are not common. There are only three in the entire

20th century. But now the W.H.O. has declared about ten of them in the first 23 years of this century. And Tedros [00:27:30] Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the current director general, has declared three in the last six years since he has been in the position. The United States spent a lot of money on the bio defense industry, supposedly to prevent and ameliorate pandemics or biological warfare attacks. And yet, when we finally saw one with Covid, we had nothing. We didn't have the gloves, masks, gowns, ventilators, drugs, [00:28:00] vaccines, etc. And here you can see the money that the US has spent. We've averaged about \$11 billion a year on preparing for pandemics and pandemic flu. Um but we had nothing when Covid came. In fact, in the Biden administration budget for this year, \$20 billion for HHS alone has been budgeted for the biosecurity [00:28:30] agenda. The show has jumped into this very lucrative industry and is building its own bio hub system. Why do they need a bio hub system? Because they want to have a library of all the potential pandemic pathogens in the world.

Meryl Nass: [00:28:50] And let me explain. The terminology for biological warfare agents has been changing every couple of decades. So first, this field was [00:29:00] called germs warfare, then biological warfare. Then it was sweetened to biological defense. Then it became gain of function research, which is what it's called now. But it's all the same thing. Potential pandemic pathogens, same thing. And the W.H.O. intends to collect and share these materials with epidemic or pandemic potential using its Biohub system. The [00:29:30] show is creating a treaty and amendments to the International Health Regulations to manage a new W.H.O., which will be primarily focused on the bio security agenda. This is the latest draft of the treaty that just came out on March 7th. And this is what it says for the newest draft, in which the provisions within the treaty are, [00:30:00] in effect 24 seven, they don't need a declaration of a pandemic, but they will always be enforced. The parties are establishing a W.H.O. pathogen access and benefit sharing system for potential pandemic pathogens or pathogens with pandemic potential. They want samples and they want the genetic sequence data. Every nation is to create genetic sequencing labs [00:30:30] and then put the sequences online. This apparently is claimed to provide equitable, fair, and rapid sharing of monetary benefits to the nations that produce these biological warfare agents for the Who's use.

Meryl Nass: [00:30:49] The W.H.O. is requiring - "shall" - the word "shall" means nations must share these pathogens with the [00:31:00] W.H.O. as soon as they are

available. They have to provide the materials to one or more of these bio hub laboratories. And they want, they will electronically label them so that the nation can get paid if any use is made of these materials. Finally, the Who demands that as soon as a nation has the sequence for this potential biological warfare agent, it must be uploaded [00:31:30] to a public database. And then and the parties, the nations that are providing the specimens and the genetic sequences have to consent to further transfer and use of these biologic materials and their genetic sequence sequences. This is what the W.H.O. is proposing and expects the nations of the world to go along with. You [00:32:00] have to ignore the fact that the Biological Weapons Convention exists in order to do this, because according to this treaty, which most of us are signatories to, it is essentially a crime to transfer biological warfare agents and certainly to share them widely, as the W.H.O. proposes, is insanity at best. Will paying for deadly pathogens result in the cobra effect, and [00:32:30] someone has to provide a reason why it wouldn't. Hat tip to Toby Rogers, who explained that in India, under the British Raj, a bounty was offered for dead cobras, and so this worked for a while to reduce the number of cobras in New Delhi.

Meryl Nass: [00:32:51] But then people started breeding the cobras so they could bring them in and collect the bounty. Eventually, the government decided to stop paying the bounty, realizing [00:33:00] that people were breeding the snakes. And so when the bounties were gone, people left, let the snakes go wild. And there were more cobras than there had been in the beginning. Look, let's be very frank. The W.H.O. is proposing that more and more biological warfare agents, or Panda, or also known as pandemic pathogens, be developed and be shared. [00:33:30] This is a recipe for more and more pandemics, and it will also be an excuse for something else the W.H.O. is requiring of nations, which is to be able to roll out vaccines without a license, with minimal or no testing, and no liability attached to them for the manufacture of the nation, the W.H.O., the doctors, or anyone else. This might be a [00:34:00] recipe for population control. It's extraordinarily dangerous. It is illogical. It is unscientific. And we must stop it right now. The treaty and the amendments to the International Health Regulations will be voted on at the W.H.O. in the last week of May, and we must not let this go forward. Thank you. Thank you so much for coming, and I hope you will join me to stop this very [00:34:30] dangerous treaty that the Who is bringing forward.

Frank Gaffney: [00:34:33] Thank you, Doctor Nass, and thank you for the great resource that you've created on all of this at DoortoFreedom.org. I want to commend that to our audience as a resource as well. And let me just say, the thought that was just dropped there at the end is one to which we will return in the Q&A. To think about it, you have as one of the prime backers of the World Health Organization now, Bill gates, who [00:35:00] has made no secret of his conviction that there are simply too many of us on the planet. And there's reason to believe that some of his investments, as he likes to think of them, are producing more problems for populations that he considers to be expendable. And again, this is a topic to which we will return shortly. We're going to go next, though, to another frontline doctor, another member of our sovereignty [00:35:30] coalition, another freedom fighter. Her name is Doctor Kat Lindley. She has an extraordinary record in medicine. She has been, among other things, a family physician, but is also the president of the Texas branch of the American Academy of Physicians and Surgeons. She is a co-founder of the Global Health Project and an incredibly energetic campaigner for [00:36:00] both national sovereignty and for individual medical freedom, which we will hear more about from her, specifically the patient doctor relationship, how it was impacted. As I said earlier, in the course of the pandemic, this is not something we can simply ruminate about or hypothesize or speculate. We have hard evidence from how [00:36:30] the Who's advice translated into real impact and very negative, indeed deadly impact on hundreds of thousands of Americans who were afflicted by the Chinese Communist Party's bio warfare, Covid 19 virus. Doctor Lindley, thank you so much for all you do for the cause for the coalition. And we're delighted to have a chance to hear from you at this point. [00:37:00] Over to you.

Kat Lindley: [00:37:02] Thank you, Frank. Thank you for having me. I was born actually in Yugoslavia in now it's called Croatia, which is a communist country. So when the Covid 19 happened, I really looked at this from two different sets of eyes. One was the physician that had to deal with different mandates that were coming from CDC, FDA and things like that. And the other one was someone who actually understood early on the propaganda what was happening, which was [00:37:30] fear isolation. Then we went into this bargaining phase and then later on came into this mandate phase. So what I started doing is looking at it differently. I started looking what is happening globally. And I think everyone would agree with me that hearing all our leaders saying build back better never made sense, because we never agreed on everything. And all of a sudden to see that was very actually it was a big red flag for me. So I [00:38:00]

started following the World Health Organization very early on. So when they came together in March of 21 to discuss this idea that we need new document, new treaty so that we can respond better, it really raised red flags with me again. And as they began negotiating these documents, first was the treaty and then the amendments to international Health regulation. I started looking at the World Health Organization. How they started [00:38:30] and where they are now.

Kat Lindley: [00:38:31] So if you really look at it that originally they were funded by the member States, and as the time went by, this financial agreement changed. So now a lot of the funding actually comes from the voluntary contributions by different public partner - public private partnership like Gavi Alliance or Melinda Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. As that happens, the relationship changes and there are strings attached with a lot of these projects. [00:39:00] So now when you look at these two documents and as my colleagues mentioned, different articles, I look at it again from the patient physician relationship. And I'm sorry, my children just got home. So you might hear them for a little bit, but there is something in the amendments to international health regulation. Last time it was article 18. So I'll go by that, let's say still article 18, that it hasn't changed, that actually, if director [00:39:30] general declares a public health emergency of international concerns in an area anywhere, the country doesn't have to agree anymore. So if that happens, he actually can start. Like Reggie mentioned earlier, the main thing that we have very specific medical exams, diagnostic testing, treatment options, vaccines, he can also order quarantine, isolation and ultimately close the borders. So what does that mean from the patient physician relationship? It's kind [00:40:00] of what happened early on during the pandemic when physicians were told, tell your patient to stay home and not go to the hospital when physicians were told not to treat the patient.

Kat Lindley: [00:40:12] When some of us started looking at the early treatment and then got censored. And yes, there is an article 44 in the amendments that actually talks about censoring us even further. More, if we don't agree with what World Health Organization wants us to do. So it's really [00:40:30] important for me as a physician and for you as a patient, to really take a look at what can happen if we allow World Health Organization to be someone in the exam room with us, we're going to have exactly what happened before. And I think it's a disaster. We all can agree that what World Health Organization has done this last pandemic was a complete failure, and we

should not put any of our confidence [00:41:00] in them. But then also, you know, there is something to be told for this. Very important and sacred relationship between patient and physician. We cannot let anyone interfere with that. So, to conclude, I think for me, it's really important to. Urge everyone to look at this relationship that World Health Organization wants to change. They used to be someone who recommended certain things. Now, with the different [00:41:30] articles, these. And recommendations now are going to be obligations because they are taking out the word non-binding. And if we have someone like World Health Organization demand for us to do certain things, it really becomes.

Kat Lindley: [00:41:49] Dictatorial relationship. Totalitarian relationship. Something that we don't want. And as Reggie pointed out before, it's really important to look at European Union, [00:42:00] what's happening there, because the World Organization, United Nations are using European Union as a blueprint for what they want to do. So they had digital vaccine passport that World Health Organization actually adopted in June of last year and said, we really like it and we want to use it. So combine that digital health passport with United Nation Initiative on CBDC, the central bank digital currency. And Reggie is correct. We are getting closer and closer to the possibility [00:42:30] of social credit scoring system. And last remark from me is don't look at the World Health Organization in a vacuum. Look at the United Nations. All of these things are coming from United Nations. World Health Organization is just an arm of United Nations. What United Nations wants is global governance. They want to achieve that through the World Health Organization, through the One Health Agenda. That actually does say that the life of humans [00:43:00] is not more important than the plants and animals, and everything is under an umbrella of climate change. So anything can be an emergency. And if we allow for this to happen, then we're going to have these supranational agencies dictate our way of life. Thank you.

Frank Gaffney: [00:43:18] Thank you, Doctor Lindley. You are a prime example of what I call a foreign born American patriot. You understand from hard experience how perishable freedom is [00:43:30] and what the alternative to it can well be. Namely, whether it's under the guise of international communism or some other kind of totalitarian oppression or now global governance. And I would just add to your point about the UN having this as an overarching agenda, so does the Chinese Communist Party. Xi Jinping is very, very open about the New World [00:44:00] that he is trying to

make that is going to have global governance as a feature of it. So our committee is extremely keen on your observations and insights, and we appreciate you sharing them with us. Today, we're going to turn next to a man of extraordinary accomplishment and insight. His name is Alex Newman. He is an author, columnist, contributor, a thought leader, public intellectual, a podcaster, a consultant. [00:44:30] I could go on and on, but the point is, Alex has an appreciation of the macro. Problems that we're describing here with specific reference to the World Health Organization. And he does as good a job as anybody connecting the dots in ways that help the rest of us see what it is we're up against. You can find him at The New American. He has a podcast that I believe is entitled Conversations [00:45:00] That Matter. Just about everyone he has certainly does, and we're very privileged to have him with us to talk a bit about what life would be like after a globalist coup. Which is what's now in prospect. Alex, welcome back. Over to you.

Alex Newman: [00:45:19] Thank you very much for having me, Frank, and thank you to Doctor Kat and everybody else. Just it was almost like y'all were reading my mind or I was reading yours because as Doctor Kat was finishing up, that was actually exactly how [00:45:30] I was going to begin my remarks. So if you want to get a sense of what the world will look like if these evildoers succeed in their agenda, just imagine the last few years under Covid, but supercharged, and the World Health Organization all by itself has made this clear. Under the W.H.O. They've already said that everything essentially is a public health emergency. So they've said racism is a public health emergency, and we know they consider everything to be racism. Just this week, a professor said marriage was an institution of racism. [00:46:00] We've got gun violence is also a public health emergency. They say climate change is a public health emergency. In fact, I was I was in the Arabian Peninsula just a few months ago for the UN Cop 28, the conference of the parties and 150 governments signed what they called the Cop 28 Declaration on Climate and Health, where they all publicly went on record committing their governments to the ridiculous principle that the alleged climate crisis is also a public health crisis and needs to be dealt with as such. So all [00:46:30] of this infrastructure of tyranny that's been built over the last few years is being prepared for something monstrous.

Alex Newman: [00:46:36] And if you want to see what that looks like, they're already starting to give us really, really concrete clues. The secretary general of the UN, who, by

the way, was the head of the Socialist International before taking over the UN. This is a long pattern we've seen with UN leadership. They almost always come from this background, whether it's National Socialist background, international socialist background doesn't matter. But the current secretary general, [00:47:00] Antonio Guterres, has put out a series of what they call policy briefs. These are being made in preparation for a major event that's coming up in September called The Summit for the future. I'm going to be there, God willing. And one of the ones that I actually broke the story in the Epoch Times last year, people need to look at this document. It's not very long. It's only about 24 pages, if I'm not mistaken. It's called strengthening the International Response to Complex Global Shocks and emergency platform. And as you read this, what will become very clear is that they are asking for a blank [00:47:30] check on power and money for all eternity. If they claim something is an international emergency, the Secretary General would convene the nation states, the businesses of the world, the NGOs of the world, all the institutions on the planet.

Alex Newman: [00:47:44] And the document makes very, very clear that the UN and the UN secretary general would maintain ultimate decision making authority. This is a naked power grab, a naked attack on national sovereignty, a naked attack on self-government, very [00:48:00] much in line with what the CCP has been promoting. Now, in the latest draft of the W.H.O. agreement, they actually talk about this Cop process. And I've had a front row seat to watch this on the climate front. So they signed the international climate treaty. Now every year they do a conference of the parties. I've been going to these since 2009 when I graduated from journalism school. Most recently, I mentioned I was on the Arabian Peninsula for the one they had in Dubai. The year before that, I was on the Sinai Peninsula in Egypt for the Cop 27 and let me give you a little clue about what's [00:48:30] going on here. Right? The cop 28, the most recent one, the big headline out of there. They approved a new resolution, a new international agreement that essentially declares that the world is going to phase out fossil fuels, hydrocarbon energy. What they really mean is the Western world is going to dismantle its energy system so that we can transfer all our productive capacity to China, Russia, Brazil, South Africa, etc.

Alex Newman: [00:48:52] they also declared war on farmers. The Cop 27, the one before that. The big takeaway was the loss and damage fund, essentially global [00:49:00] wealth redistribution. They're going to loot what's left of the middle class in

the United States and the Western world, what used to be known as the free world, and redistribute that to the Third World, kleptocrats and the UN bodies that have contributed or even impoverished the peoples of the rest of the world. So if you want to understand really what this whole World Health Organization process is going to look like, recognize that this agreement is not going to be the end of it. They're setting up a mechanism where every year they're going to get together, and every year they're going to take another step forward toward global [00:49:30] tyranny. And if you want to know what that's going to look like, take a look at China. Reggie alluded to this at the beginning of this program. what she said, I want to reemphasize that this is a joint CCP globalist project. It is globalism with Chinese characteristics. And the world will look a lot like China if these evildoers get their way. Now, look at the current head of the World Health Organization, Doctor Tedros Ghebreyesus. He was literally put into power with the strong support of the CCP.

Alex Newman: [00:49:59] Before [00:50:00] taking over the W.H.O., he was on the Politburo of an ethno-Marxist terrorist organization in Ethiopia that behaved in an absolutely barbaric fashion and deliberately targeted certain people groups for destruction. To put the CCP aspect into context. Over a third of the specialized agencies of the UN right now are run by actual members of the Communist Party of China. Many of the other organizations like Unesco, even IMF, which is not technically a UN agency, have their deputy leader, is [00:50:30] a member of the CCP. And the CCP has been very open about the fact that when you're a Chinese communist and you work in one of these international organizations, you're not an international civil servant. You are a CCP member first and foremost. And if you don't obey their dictates, they'll do what they did to the head of Interpol, also a CCP member who was arrested when he went back to China for apparently not obeying their instructions quickly and efficiently enough. So in 2014, there were already, under the leadership of the W.H.O., quarantining whole villages and cities in Africa under [00:51:00] the guise of a pandemic. In 2012, they launched a global bid to centralize and even control mental health. And by the way, they think you're crazy if you don't like globalism, if you don't like tyranny. So what we're seeing here is the construction of global tyranny.

Alex Newman: [00:51:14] Self-government will be a thing of the past elections in the sense that we understand them. Individual freedom, freedom of expression. They're openly telling you that you can't have misinformation, conspiracy theories, hate speech,

replace all of those fancy terms and recognize they're just talking about anything that contradicts [00:51:30] their narrative. And they've made this absolutely clear. So this was always the goal. If you go back to the beginning of the UN, you see the critical forces and individuals involved. This was after World War Two. Joseph Stalin sent his foreign minister, Mr. Molotov, to that founding conference in San Francisco. The guy who was supposed to be representing us, Alger Hiss, they made him the chairman of the conference that wrote the UN charter. Then, of course, we prosecuted him and put him in federal prison because he was a spy for Joseph Stalin. So these are unfortunately wicked and totalitarian forces [00:52:00] that are absolutely determined to end not just our national sovereignty, but our individual liberty. We must stop them. And I encourage everybody listening to us right now to get involved in some way. It begins with calling your elected officials, and then it goes to educating your community. And I'm out of time, so I'll hand it back. But thank you very much, Frank and Reggie and everybody else.

Frank Gaffney: [00:52:20] Alex, wonderful. Thank you so much again. You're a genius at this dot-connecting. And I think you've really captured the nub of the problem that this is a long running [00:52:30] agenda of tyranny being imposed through these various vehicles. But the UN works for the Chinese Communist Party, as does, obviously, Tedros. And we must not lose sight of the people pulling the strings. We're going to hear next from a woman who, frankly, was one of the first, I think, to raise the alarm about what was afoot here. Certainly she brought us into awareness of what was evolving. Her name is Michele Bachmann. She [00:53:00] served with great distinction as a member of Congress. She ran for president. She has been continuing her public service in her present capacity as the dean of the Robertson School of Government at the Regent University. She is an extraordinarily articulate critic of what is going on here and passionate about, among other things, trying to arouse her. Former colleagues in the Congress to stand up. [00:53:30] And protect our sovereignty and our freedoms against what these globalists. As Alex did aptly with Chinese characteristics have in mind for us. We have heard by video. It was actually something she recorded previously, but we've been authorized to repo or repurpose it. Let me put it that way. This important contribution and Mark, glad to have her with us via video. Let's go to the tape. Now.

Michele Bachmann: [00:53:57] What we're talking about is the loss of sovereignty, [00:54:00] not only in the United States. We're talking about the loss of sovereignty of

194 nations across the world. That's virtually every nation on Earth is looking at voluntarily giving up its sovereignty over health care decision making. And when you look below the layers, it is far more than just health care decisions that are at risk. It's virtually almost every decision that a nation would make is at risk. Because what [00:54:30] this means is, if those who are successful, who are in the process of putting this new system forth, what they will be creating is a global governance, a platform for global governance for the first time in history, certainly in the modern era, but in history we will see a platform for global governance. It is in alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, and it is being operationalized by [00:55:00] the World Health Organization, as was stated by my colleagues in their previous presentations. So again, what are we talking about? Loss of national sovereignty. That's an existential threat to the United States. We will no longer exist in the way that we have previously. If this goes forward, our lives will never be able to be lived the same in freedom, in decision making decisions based on liberty. In [00:55:30] fact, our form of government, which is a representative form of government based upon a constitution that will effectively be gone. That's what we're talking about. That's the level of the alarm that we're talking about.

Michele Bachmann: [00:55:43] So global governance, a platform for global governance, is in the process of being created. And in fact, this month of June of 2023, as Reggie Littlejohn stated from an article that she held up, that system is being operationalized, [00:56:00] and that is an agreement that was announced at the early part of June that the European Union and their executive committee, the European Commission, made an announcement together with the World Health Organization, which is the health care arm of the United Nations. They said that the global digital passport on vaccines and on health care, what Europe used throughout the pandemic, the pandemic, if you will, that system is now going to be run [00:56:30] by the World Health Organization. Why do we care? Well, let's talk about what it is. Take out your cell phone and imagine a QR code on your cell phone. For those of us who didn't live in Europe, we didn't know what they were dealing with. They had a QR code, which is a digital identity. Each person had a digital identity. It was on their phone in the form of a QR code. In order for someone to get on a subway system or be able to travel in the EU, they had to take that [00:57:00] QR code, put it over a reader, and then they would be allowed to access transportation. So to if they wanted to buy something in the

marketplace, food or household items or any item at all, they would have to use their phone and that QR code to then be able to purchase.

Michele Bachmann: [00:57:17] Well, what's wrong with that? You might say, well, there's something wrong with it. If the World Health Organization uses as a barrier the ability to be able to buy or sell or the ability to be able to travel [00:57:30] is only dependent upon whether or not the World Health Organization says you have met its criteria, you've had enough vaccines, you've had enough boosters, you've had enough testing requirements. Then you can go forward and you can travel or you can buy something. Why is this important? A government official from Indonesia said last November that in this May at the World Health Assembly that met in Geneva, Switzerland, they were [00:58:00] going to be passing and they were going to operationalize the global digital passports so that everyone would have a digital ID, every person on earth. And from there they would have they would begin to utilize and operationalize this system where we are constrained, we are not able to travel, we are not able to buy or sell without that QR code as approved by the W.H.O. This is huge. I think we can all see this effectively. What [00:58:30] this does. Digital QR code would do. This is the enforcement mechanism. This is the platform for global government. So your phone with your QR code controlled by the W.H.O., that's global government. That's what it looks like. That's the enforcement mechanism. And the shock is that when I was in Geneva at the World Health Assembly, this issue wasn't spoken about.

Michele Bachmann: [00:58:55] I thought for sure we would have a vote. They would talk about it. They did not talk [00:59:00] about it. Instead, they were pragmatic. They acted. So, as Reggie and others have talked about, there is the legalized framework that they're trying to build with the global pandemic treaty and with amending the international health rules for the purpose of the overall goal, which is global government and the loss of individual national sovereignty, again, for the purpose, so that the World Health Organization, under the United Nations, it's the United Nations. For all practical purposes, they would be able to surveil, [00:59:30] track and trace our lives, and they would be able to determine whether or not we can travel or whether or not we can buy and sell. Let's fast forward to what happened in June. We know, as Reggie had mentioned, that there was a press conference and that now the Who is running effectively this global digital passport that is interoperable. It can be used among various countries. All countries of the world are expected to be in the system [01:00:00] that

became operational now in June of 2023. So it's already there. It's already operational in 80 countries in Europe. That's an economy that's almost equal to the United States of America. And you have a population and a landmass almost equal to the size of the United States. So the question is, when is the United States going into this system? The expectation is that we will the expectation is that the rest of the world will go into this [01:00:30] system.

Michele Bachmann: [01:00:30] This is very big. I've just several weeks ago, I got a videotape from a businessman who was in England. He wanted to go into an Aldi's and just buy some food at the grocery store, and he took this video on the wall at Aldi's. He took the video of the directions on using your QR code on your phone to get in. He then swung his camera over and he filmed the barriers [01:01:00] that there were to even walk in an Aldi store. So this wasn't just purchasing the food at an Aldi's. He had to show that his QR code was operational in order to even walk into the Aldi, so he couldn't even get to any food. So the reason why I'm telling you this is because this isn't pie in the sky years down the road. This is this month, June 2023. Global government is being implemented and enforced [01:01:30] in June of 2023. In Europe, the system that will be used for us in America, for Canada, for all nations on Earth. It's being implemented and begun this month. It is operational. It is active. Global government has begun over Europe. And so here's my question. Why have we heard zero nothing from the US Senate? Why have we heard nothing from the House of [01:02:00] Representatives? One colleague who was in Geneva with me went to Washington, DC to be a part of a meeting to specifically ask some very strong conservative, actually biblical members of Congress, what are you going to do about this? Are you going to pull the United States out of the World Health Organization? Are you going to begin to hold hearings? What are you going to do about the W.H.O., or are you going to pass Andy Biggs Bill, H.R. 79? And he said, probably not. [01:02:30] No, we're probably not going to have hearings. You know, we really don't see that this is quite the problem that you think that it is. And here's our situation that we're at now, if these members of Congress can't see this after all the documentation that is laid out, after all the video film footage, after the articles that say this system of global government is operational today in Europe, then we need to, if [01:03:00] they're not saying anything, then we need to and we need to demand that they get us out of the W.H.O. So, Frank. Thank you. Thank you, Reggie. Thank you. Dede. It's extremely important. We're operationalized today with global government, and we've got to get the United States Congress to wake

up, take action, and get us out, because this is the Biden administration's plan for America. And we need to ask these senators and House members, when are you going to get us out of this system and make sure that we are not forced to have [01:03:30] an Individual digital identification. Thank you.

Frank Gaffney: [01:03:34] Thank you, Michele Bachmann, what a powerful call to action at a moment when it is especially needed. As you heard, it was evident to her a year ago, just about. And it should have been to members of Congress as well. There's no longer any alternative but for it to become a matter of both. Situational awareness, yes, but also basis for action. [01:04:00] We'll be talking about action with our next and final presenter. Her name is Chris Uhlmann. She too is a very important member of our sovereignty coalition, a woman who has a personal history of experience in government, notably both in the Congress of the United States, where she worked as a legislative director for then Senator John Ashcroft. She also worked for him when he became the Attorney General of the United States. As a Deputy Associate [01:04:30] Attorney General, she has been involved with and leading an organization founded by the great Phyllis Schlafly. She is now the president of Eagle Forum, and we've asked Chris to do what she and her colleagues at Eagle Forum do better than just about anybody, which is to take a problem and figure out how to solve it, how to address it, how to mitigate it, at the very least. And that's what we've asked her to speak to us about, [01:05:00] based upon all that we've heard of, the real dangers that are associated with this World Health Organization, and the urgent need to ensure that we are not dragooned. Again, with scarcely any of us even being aware it's happening into this global tyranny that they're cooking up. Chris Uhlmann, again, thank you for joining us and for all that you do for the cause. The floor is yours, ma'am.

Kris Ullman: [01:05:24] Thank you. Thank you very much. Well, that was a very informative and a little bit of a depressing [01:05:30] webinar, the things we just heard. But as Frank said, I'm the president of Eagle Forum. And Eagle Forum was founded by the late, great Phyllis Schlafly, who single handedly led the effort to defeat the Equal Rights Amendment, which was barreling down the tracks about to get ratified by the states. And she was able to amass an army and stop that. So it is possible to stop a fast moving train. And that's why I am here [01:06:00] to ask for your help in doing just that. As you may have heard, the most pressing need right now is to let Congress know that we would like them to maintain language that was already passed by the House of

Representatives that will defund the W.H.O., and require that no money be spent on these documents, these instruments by the Who, unless they're ratified by the United States Senate. So [01:06:30] you can go to the sovereignty coalition. There's a big button that says take action, and that will send a letter to your elected representatives, telling them just that. There are a number of wonderful congressmen and senators who know about this and who are willing to stand up, but there aren't enough yet. You can help change that by contacting your member of Congress and your senators. Be the Republican or Democrat, because quite frankly, we need the Democrats to [01:07:00] stand up with us on this as well.

Kris Ullman: [01:07:03] It is an issue of national sovereignty. It is an issue of individual sovereignty. Will the parents of America be able to decide whether their child get children, get vaccinated? Will we be able to shop and travel as former rep Michele Bachmann just told us? So first and foremost, go to Sovereignty Coalition and hit that take action button. There are several bills in Congress that are addressing [01:07:30] this. H.R. 79, Andy Biggs out of Arizona has a bill that will remove us from the W.H.O. So as you're talking to your member of Congress, ask them if he's or her is a co-sponsor of that. Senator Ron Johnson and Representative Tiffany, both from Wisconsin, have House and Senate bills that are called the no. W.H.O. Pandemic Preparedness Treaty without Senate Approval Act. And that's simply a bill that says, hey, Senate, [01:08:00] under the Constitution, you have to vote to ratify treaties. Now, the W.H.O. is real sneaky. They're saying, oh, it's not a treaty, it's an agreement. It's a convention. It's an international handholding exercise. No, it involves international law. It binds the United States government. Therefore it is a treaty and needs to be ratified. So we're asking of 47. 48 Republican senators [01:08:30] have already agreed that it needs to be voted on.

Kris Ullman: [01:08:33] So we need. Some Democrats, we need some help from the grassroots. Senator Lee and representative Chip Roy have a bill that will not only get us out of the Who, but also get us out of the United Nations and require, again, the Senate to ratify before we reenter any of these organizations. Former President Trump got us out of the W.H.O. It's a two year process. He started it a year before [01:09:00] he left. Biden put us back in. So, we can get out. We need to get out. These are legislative things that we can do. What else can you do? At the state level, there are a number of state legislatures that have introduced either bills or resolutions against the W.H.O. and

against the pandemic treaty and the International Health Regulations. In fact, I just got a copy of one that was filed yesterday [01:09:30] in Alabama that basically the Alabama Legislature hopefully will vote on it soon, saying that the Alabama Legislature, on behalf of the people of Alabama, is calling on the federal government not to do this. There are a number of states Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Wyoming that have already started this process. You can contact your [01:10:00] governor, lieutenant governor, your attorney general, and your state reps. The other thing, and most important thing you can do is educate your friends, educate your local community.

Kris Ullman: [01:10:11] Maybe you're part of a local political party, your city Republican club, or another club like that, or go to your city council and say, hey, are you aware of this? Do you know that it won't be up to you anymore to decide whether we have mask mandates, whether we have lockdowns? [01:10:30] That and it won't be up to our federal government, but an international group that's going to do this. So we want everyone in this nation to know about this and to be speaking out about this. And if I have a minute to just share my screen, I'm just going to show you. Right. Here are the things that I've just been talking about contacting Congress, going to the sovereignty Coalition, the bills [01:11:00] and the bill numbers that are in Congress, the states that have introduced the legislation and the resolutions and the civic and local groups. You can pass resolutions. I also encourage you. To call the white House. They're the ones who are going to agree to this. It's an election year. There's no reason we know that the Biden administration is all behind this treaty. But there is no reason why we, the people are not [01:11:30] calling. The administration that is going to sign us on to these documents at the end of May and let them know we are a hard no on this.

Kris Ullman: [01:11:41] We want a delay. At minimum, we want a delay. But we are against giving up our national security, our individual freedoms to the World Health Organization. They deserve to know how we feel as they're entering into these negotiations and wrapping up these negotiations. [01:12:00] So I've got the white House switchboard on there as well. Eagle forum.org is my organization. Door to freedom.org is Doctor Nassar. She's got the latest. A version of the treaty and the ear up their sovereignty coalition. You can go and take action. And if you're a member of Congress says, oh, no, no, I read that. It's all fine. The latest draft just came out. I doubt very seriously that they have done [01:12:30] what Bill Walton did, what Reggie has done,

what Doctor Kat and Doctor Merrill have done, what I have done, and read through these incredibly dry, confusing documents that change all the time. So just tell them. Have you read the latest draft? I don't think so. What is the implication? Please, I just implore you to get involved. Tell your neighbors, tell your friends what's about to happen, and that together. I believe we can stop [01:13:00] this. I believe in the power of the people to take back their sovereignty and their freedom. And I look forward to working with you on this issue. Thank you.

Frank Gaffney: [01:13:11] Yeah. Thank you so much. What a powerful closer. I just wanted to emphasize something that you kind of alluded to, but it needs to be made explicit. The states and you talked about governors, you talked about attorneys general. You talked about legislatures, other executives. [01:13:30] And by the way, lower levels as well. Counties and cities all have a responsibility here. Or health policy. Public health policy. It is not an enumerated power of the federal government. So what the Biden administration is doing is actually surrendering authority and responsibility. It does not exercise under our Constitution [01:14:00] at the expense of the rights of the states. And this is a very important basis upon which those states must be enlisted in helping push back against this idea. We're going to do a quick round of questions. We've gone a little bit long, but I think it's important that you hear from our tremendous panel a little bit more about a couple of topics that we've touched on. Reggie, would you drawing on your legal experience. And of course, Chris has got it as well, just to address this [01:14:30] issue of whether these are, in fact, treaties, the International Health Regulation amendments and the pandemic agreement, and if so, on what basis can they be kept from the Senate for its constitutional advice and consent?

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:14:47] Well, thank you for asking that, Frank. They are treaties because definition of a treaty is very simply a legally binding agreement between nations. So they are making the World Health Organization started [01:15:00] out as a non-binding sort of organization that would make recommendations. And now they want, you know, binding authority to implement this stuff. So it absolutely is a treaty. It's also a treaty because they are they are saying that they're going to be able to call the shots in case of any kind of a public health emergency of international concern, whether it's, you know, a pathogen or climate change or, you know, [01:15:30] racism or whatever, depression, whatever they want to call it, they can they can call it and then they can tell us how to handle it. So that is a destruction of our national sovereignty and

our personal medical freedom. And it can only be done by a treaty. So. People can't though, say, oh well, it's these are treaties. And therefore, you know, they have to go through the Senate and, you know, and the Senate will never pass them.

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:15:57] And that's something that I've heard a lot of [01:16:00] conservatives become sort of inactivated by that confidence. Don't, don't go there. Don't think that that it's that just because the Constitution says it has to go through the Senate, that it's going to go through the Senate. Number one, Ron Johnson ran an amendment last year saying, just saying, you know, guys, this - any treaty by the World Health Organization has to pass through the Senate. And his amendment was voted down by the Senate itself. And number two, the Biden administration, since it really is behind these [01:16:30] amendments and the new treaty can take the position that it's not a treaty and it's just an agreement, it's an understanding and then try to effectuate it through executive order. So and but we so that's unconstitutional. But the Constitution is just a document. It doesn't defend itself. We have to defend the Constitution. And we have to take a stand and stop these guys from trying to do these kinds of things.

Frank Gaffney: [01:16:54] Bill Walton, as you've mentioned, you have been a master of the universe. [01:17:00] You have traveled with and worked with a number of folks who have been very much engaged in pressing this agenda forward, including, I think it's fair to say, the kinds of means of controlling the population, the elites versus the little people, if you will. Also, I think the idea of depopulating the planet, this is not just an [01:17:30] easy fix of Bill Gates. There are others in this elite, the Davos crowd, if you will, the World Economic Forum and the like. In terms of the concern that you heard Meryl Nass express about this prospect, that what the digital gulag Reggie's talked about, what this biological weapons clearinghouse would involve. Do you share this concern that where these people [01:18:00] are going is, is not only into a dark kind of form of tyranny over the world through global governance, but actually trying to eradicate large numbers of us as well.

Bill Walton: [01:18:13] Yes, I'm concerned, and I do believe that in particular Silicon Valley. What is the word? Transhumanism. You know, the men and they're mostly men, mostly young men have this sense of omnipotence and power, and they think they're the, they're elite. And but it's not just [01:18:30] Silicon Valley. You heard John Kerry at Davos sitting in talking to a room of 20, 25 people saying, how fortunate was that?

We're all here among the elect to guide the world in its time of crisis. And we have just been anointed. He didn't say by God because he thinks he is God. Yeah. I've been around these people. I've had the unfortunate pleasure of having been to Davos and yeah, they're full of themselves, Frank. And they don't care about [01:19:00] the rest of us. And if they can push this agenda, they will. They don't pay the price in any way. I can guarantee you their health care doesn't look like our health care. And it's, you know, to state the obvious, though, if we don't change administrations, if we don't end up with Donald Trump as president, and he is our president, the presumptive nominee, we have to win because another term with Biden or whoever the [01:19:30] Democrats choose to substitute for Biden if for the for this upcoming election, this is going to proceed apace. If anything, it'll pick up pace. So the political stakes have never been higher. And so in addition to all the other, please, here, we need to spend a little time making sure the right guy gets elected.

Frank Gaffney: [01:19:52] This is, of course, a nonpartisan program, brought to you by a nonpartisan organization.

Bill Walton: [01:19:55] I forgot that (laughter).

Frank Gaffney: [01:19:58] You're speaking for [01:20:00] yourself on that?

Bill Walton: [01:20:00] I speak for myself.

Frank Gaffney: [01:20:02] Kat Lindley, you've studied these things closely. You again, have this wealth of experience with tyranny. You know it when you see it. What are the prospects here that, if this agreement package, if you will, is approved - and by the way, I kind of think of this as a belt and suspenders operation - they figure they'll get one of these things through, if not both, and either one will do the [01:20:30] job of really putting us on this global governance trajectory - but Kat, let's just say that somebody - Donald Trump - is elected in November, how hard will it be, in your estimation, for that individual to undo what will have been put in motion? And let's face it, signed off on, you know, likelihood by the Biden administration.

Kat Lindley: [01:20:55] I'm a realist. I truly feel that these things will pass. You know, the treaty, [01:21:00] there is a lot of pushback. Currently, the language is really vague.

But the amendments to international regulation, I fear that most of them will pass. And really, if you look at the some of the documents from HHS and look at the states, HHS, we already have one health departments inside the HHS. So this is pretty much plug and play once it's passed. Now, as far as can we really get out of this? Reggie [01:21:30] is more of an expert than this, but it is going to be a very, actually, long process. It's not like even if the President Trump or someone like him or a junior, someone who thinks that they want to accede to just wants to exit, it's not like they signed the executive order and route. It's a huge process because we have some major obligations. You know, this is something that lawyers will know a lot better than I do. But what worries me is. People [01:22:00] are really not paying attention to policies of this administration. You know, everything is kind of hidden in these bills that were passing every day. No one knows what the language is. You know, I'm not a political guru, but, you know, I love Senator Mike Lee and I follow them on Twitter, but they keep on saying, we're about to sign a bill, but no one knows what's in the bill. It's kind of like the same thing that happened with Obamacare. A lot of these policies are already in place, and [01:22:30] states are currently getting huge fundings from CDC to actually start doing the surveillance on pathogens like Meryl was actually speaking about. So, it's really not as easy as just exiting. I think we're going to have to kind of redo years of damage and a lot of policies that we actually have passed when we're not even aware of it.

Frank Gaffney: [01:22:54] I share your concern on that score. Needless to say, Alex, you've been following these trends, [01:23:00] these forces that are at work trying to bring about arrangements that will entail, you know, from the get go, the kind of tyranny and the digital gulag, the, the censorship mechanisms, this biowarfare sharing program on top of, of course, the concentration of power in a single individual that I think it's fair to say in the history of the world has never been achieved by force of arms, [01:23:30] let alone through the voluntary surrender of sovereignty by free people. If that's in prospect. You talked about it possibly getting considerably worse if this mechanism, this conference of parties feature, and I think others have spoken to it too, essentially can rewrite any of these things at will to make them even worse. And we are stuck with them, as best I can tell, if we are party to any [01:24:00] of these agreements, or even simply a member of the who. Is that right? And doesn't that, you know, make absolutely imperative that we have to get not only out of the business of funding all this, but out of the organization itself?

Alex Newman: [01:24:14] Yeah. Thank you, Frank. And, you know, to be clear, I do not believe that a lot of these mechanisms that are being built are legitimate or constitutional. I think if you examine the plain text of the Constitution, so much of what they're doing is flagrantly unconstitutional. The federal government doesn't have these powers. [01:24:30] How in the world can they delegate powers to the W.H.O. or the UN that they don't possess in the first place? And if they want to accumulate or usurp these powers, we need to have a discussion about the constitutional amendment process. And then, you know, if Americans really believe that these things are a good idea, we'll discuss it and then we'll go through the proper process. But from their perspective, Frank, they are absolutely trying to convince the world that this is legitimate. And I've seen it so clearly on the climate issue. So, 2015 was really a ground breaking meeting. That's when they had the [01:25:00] conference of the parties in Paris. And this is a different cop process. Right. But it's the same mechanism that they're trying to build now for the W.H.O. And they came up with this grandiose global agreement that was going to purport to require the United States to slash its CO2 emissions by about a third, while the communist Chinese promise to continue increasing their CO2 emissions indefinitely until 2030 and potentially beyond that.

Alex Newman: [01:25:21] So this was obviously a new international agreement when the original agreement that created this process was ratified, nobody envisioned that something [01:25:30] like this would emerge from it. And yet the Senate was not asked to approve of this. It was just treated as an executive agreement as Barack Obama framed it, and it was just considered to be the law of the land. So this is the process they will use for the W.H.O. It's the process they're using on several other fronts, and they'll grab as much power as they can in this agreement that they're working on right now, and then they will continue to build on it after that, unless and until our Congress and our state governments say, look, we're not providing any more money for this. This is illegitimate. [01:26:00] We're not going to participate. So knock yourself out with your cops, but we're not going to be participating. We're not going to be paying for it. So good luck. And I really think that's the only way to stop this. It's got to be Congress. It's got to be the states saying, we are not involved in this anymore. Have fun.

Frank Gaffney: [01:26:16] Well, there's the rub, isn't it? Chris Uhlmann, you worked in Congress. You understand both its powers and its limitations. We've had a very active

chat going on with a very engaged audience, and I [01:26:30] want to thank all of them for their contributions to this program. A recurrent theme is. Where is Congress in all of this? I was, as it happens, up on Capitol Hill yesterday and meeting with some members of Congress the day before. And I think they are among those I was interacting with, among those who actually are pretty clued up about this problem and to varying degrees, are very motivated to try to do what they can to stop it. And yet, [01:27:00] as things stand now. Perhaps two days from the time we're taping this program, the house may reverse its previous position and essentially greenlight with funding this course of action. Talk a little bit about the Congress, your sense of whether it is a matter of indifference or ignorance or incompetence, or somehow [01:27:30] being compromised, or something else that explains the fact that it's best I can tell there's been exactly one hearing. But all of this in the United States House of Representatives. And by the way, it only had three witnesses, and they were all from the administration. And they assured the Congress under oath that there wasn't going to be any, you know, impairment of our sovereignty if this thing eventuates. So give us a little civics lesson [01:28:00] here, if you would.

Kris Ullman: [01:28:01] I think that there's a small group of people in Congress who do know about it and are concerned about it, and some of them don't even really know what to do. It is sadly likely that the language defunding the Who and requiring the vote will not be in the final bill that's voted on this weekend. But that is not the last bill that Congress has to pass this year. And that's why it's so important that people stay involved because. Members of Congress and senators. [01:28:30] They do respond to the calls and the emails that we they get when they get overwhelming emails and calls about a certain issue, they will stop and look at it. This again, as I said before, this is an election year. Every candidate you meet ask them, are you familiar with the International Health Regulations in the W.H.O. treaty? What is your position on it, and what are you going to do at the local, state, federal level to stop it? That's the power we [01:29:00] have in a representative government and we have to use it. There are a small group of people who are more than happy to usher in this kind of international fascism and to give the power over.

Kris Ullman: [01:29:19] But I don't believe that they're in the majority. And that's why I believe that if we speak out, we can slow this down. We can. It will be a long process [01:29:30] getting out of it. But, you know, America is the £800 gorilla. If we start

questioning this, as we have already done, and we have seen Tedros and company watered down the language and take some, some words out that we said we didn't like that. It is having an impact. If we get out the smaller countries who are also questioning this, they will they will feel like, well, I'm not alone. So it is it [01:30:00] is vitally important that we keep the pressure on. Politicians respond to pressure, and we need to keep it on. If we don't defund it in this bill this weekend, there are other bills coming down the pike, and everybody needs to know they need to do it. They need to stop this money. They need to tell the administration to slow down, and they really need to take this seriously, because it is such a vital issue.

Frank Gaffney: [01:30:28] Reggie Littlejohn, any closing [01:30:30] comments from you?

Reggie Littlejohn: [01:30:31] This has been an extremely powerful webinar, and I would just urge everyone who has been listening to go to the Sovereignty Coalition right now, Sovereigntycoalition.org, and sign our declaration. Sign our petition. Sign our action. Reach out to your congressional representatives. This vote on the whether the language from the US Congress, from the House saying that we should defund the W.H.O., [01:31:00] whether it survives the mini omni bill is being decided, possibly even tonight, but by the 22nd. So please just take action immediately and share this video as far as wide as you can. Please prioritize this issue, because I know there's a lot of issues out there, but this one is really super time sensitive and don't think about oh well, maybe next year when I have more time, I will get involved. You know, if you don't get involved now, then next year [01:31:30] it could be too late. So please, please just get involved right now. Thank you.

Frank Gaffney: [01:31:36] Thank you Reggie. Just a couple of closing comments for me, if I may. The first clue that there's a problem here is when people use this firm global governance. Because as Alex Newman has said, that is absolutely antithetical. To our Constitution. Which is based upon limited representative government. [01:32:00] Pursuant to very profound constitutional limitations. Now, what Alex has pointed out is that this would be the basis, presumably for lawsuits if we get into this thing. But lawsuits will take perhaps years to work their way through the courts. And in the meantime, the tyranny is upon us. And more to the point, there is on the basis of what we all experienced. Pursuant [01:32:30] to the advice of the World Health Organization

in the pandemic, Covid 19. That is a willingness to conform. To its directions, by doctors, by pharmacists, by medical associations, by hospitals, by governments, state and local, even as well as federal. And that is where I think we really find ourselves facing the necessity [01:33:00] of acting now, not after all of this has begun to be implemented. I'm quite confident, and I think the response in the chat room is prime example of it, that if the American people actually know what is being done here, they're not going to want any part of it. Oh yes, maybe some small minority might, but not the vast majority of us, which [01:33:30] makes incumbent upon all of us. To spread the word to be. Communicators of this kind of information. Yes, to your elected representative in Congress, but also to your neighbors, your friends, your coworkers, your family. Not least engage them as well. And I can't emphasize strongly enough what Chris Uhlmann said. This just [01:34:00] happens to be one of those years. And in fact. The critical period in one of those years when everybody in elective office or aspiring to get into elective office is very attentive to us. A lot of the rest of the time, not so much. Let's be honest. But when they stand for election, even what seemed to be small numbers of [01:34:30] people doing what they can to compel answers from elected officials or again, candidates can be profoundly impactful. And I'll just close with this thought. It was almost 50 years ago. That the United States of America engaged in an act of voluntary, formal surrender [01:35:00] of sovereignty. It was on the occasion of giving up. The canal that we built in Panama. It was a momentous public policy fight. Much of it conducted on the outside. Some. Of course, in the inside there was a Senate that was asked to advise and consent to the treaty. And while that Senate voted by a single vote [01:35:30] to surrender the canal. And by the way, as an aside, just about all of the bad things that people warned would happen have been happening there, as you may know, including having the Chinese essentially owning it and now using a former US Army base, among other things, to stage what appear to be People's Liberation Army military personnel into our country. Through Panama. [01:36:00] But my point is this, folks. That was a critical moment in American history. I had the chance to speak last week with a man who was intimately involved in that fight, but in a Richard Viguerie. Richard shared with me that there were three very important things that emerged as a result of Americans engaging in opposition to that surrender of our [01:36:30] sovereignty. One was really a new conservative. A modern conservative movement was born. Another was that a new leader of that movement was. Recognized that would be Ronald Reagan. And thirdly, it was a powerful movement that garnered from the fight the means by which in the successive [01:37:00] two. Election cycles. 20 members of

the United States Senate who voted for the giveaway of the Panama Canal were thrown out of office. That, ladies and gentlemen, I think, should be what we aspire to do today if we actually engage in the kind of serious public policy debate and challenge to the people [01:37:30] who are prepared to just sort of ignore all this and go along with it. I think we can rebuild and invigorate not just conservative movement, but a but an American movement that is committed to our country, to its constitution, to our sovereignty, and yes, to our freedoms. I think we can also probably engender new and vigorous leadership, which is urgently needed, and I'm reasonably sure that we can create [01:38:00] pressure that will translate into, well, corrective actions, maybe down the road, but hopefully corrective actions now on the part of the United States Senate. To take up these documents and act. In opposition to them and to the United States House of Representatives to defund the World Health Organization and to enact legislation that will begin the process of removing us from it, which will, as Bill Walton has pointed out, ultimately require [01:38:30] new leadership in the white House. But that may well be forthcoming, especially if this fight. Is made a defining voting issue. And I can think, I can say on behalf of our sovereignty coalition, our Committee on the Present Danger China or Stop Vaccine Passports Task Force, we're proud not only to have been able to bring this information to you, but we are committed to making that fight and we ask your help with it most immediately [01:39:00] at Sovereignty Coalition. Org. God bless you all. Thank you for what is, at the end of the day, spiritual warfare. We need your prayers as well as your help and we hope that we will have both. Thank you again, Dede, back to you.

Dede Laugesen: [01:39:17] Wow, what an incredible webinar this was today. I hope that all of you will take action and also tell three friends to take action as well. Share this video everywhere [01:39:30] you can. A video of this webinar will be posted to stopvaxpassports.org, presentdangerChina.org, and the Sovereignty Coalition at Sovereigntycoalition.org within a day of the conclusion of our program. Please share this in our other programs with your elected representatives, colleagues and other networks. And please sign the American Sovereignty Declaration also at SovereigntyCoalition.org. Join [01:40:00] us again next week for another instalment of our Committee on the Present Danger China webinar series on the CCP's unrestricted warfare against America and the free world, and how the CCP Captured Elites Betray the USA. Thanks for joining us today and goodbye.